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Novel Simulation-Based Learning Modules for Teaching Database Concepts

Abstract
This paper presents novel immersive simulation-based learning (I-SBL) modules as an alternative active-learning method for teaching and learning fundamental concepts related to database design. I-SBL involves a 3-dimensional simulated environment that resembles a real-world system. Students can navigate through the simulated environment (in low- and high-immersion modes), observe and understand the underlying dynamics, evaluate the effect of the changes on the system, and learn by doing. The use of such modules is especially important when access to the real system is limited or impossible due to geographical barriers and/or regulations and safety considerations. We assess the impact of the proposed approach by implementing a sample I-SBL module in an undergraduate database class. The study involves two groups of students: control and test groups. Students in the control group complete a traditional problem-based learning (PBL) assignment, while the test group uses the I-SBL version of the same assignment. The assessment data collected include demographics, prior preparation, motivation, usability tests, and pre/post quizzes to measure knowledge gain. Statistical analysis of the results suggests that I-SBL performs at least as well as PBL. The results also provide important insights into the effective design and implementation of I-SBL.

Introduction and Background
Today, databases are ubiquitous and can be found in virtually any information system. Appropriate database design is critical for businesses as it enables informed decision-making, better monitoring of operations, and enhanced service to customers. The increased importance of databases in today’s business world has made database design one of the core topics in computer science, information science, and information systems programs [1]. Teaching and learning database design is challenging because designing implies a creative thinking process without exact formulas. Both knowledge and skills are identified as key points in database design. Database design knowledge is technical as well as practical. Many skills are required including problem-solving, critical thinking, creativity, communication, team working, and time management. Traditionally, expositional lectures or closed and hands-on laboratories are used to teach database design. Exams are usually used to evaluate knowledge and skills required in the database design process. In the rest of this article, we refer to such methods as traditional methods. Unfortunately, despite their wide use, traditional methods are found to be ineffective for teaching and learning the abstract and complex domain of database design [2,3]. While existing teaching methods for design learning provide some clues, there is no single, well-known solution. This causes a frustration among students [3] since they look for a definitive answer [4]. Students normally understand reasoned design solutions explained by an instructor, but do not feel confident when doing similar exercises by themselves [4]. They need a thorough explanation of the problem by the instructor sometimes or even an initial
solution that may help them start. Moreover, students struggle with interpreting user requirements [3] and convert them into a database design. Especially, identifying entity types is a challenging task for students since the lack of understanding the problem domain [5].

Problem-based learning (PBL) has the potential to offer an effective solution. PBL is an instructional (and curricular) learner-centered approach that empowers learners to conduct research, integrate theory and practice, and apply knowledge and skills to develop a viable solution to a problem. Successful implementation of PBL relies on appropriate definition of the problem (often ill-structured and interdisciplinary) and an instructor that guides the learning process and conducts a thorough debriefing at the conclusion of the learning experience [6]. PBL is based on theoretical educational and psychological foundations [7, 8, 9] with a cohesive body of research that shows its effectiveness for all learner groups, including K-12 [10], undergraduate [11], graduate and professional [12], and online learners [13]. Existing studies have also investigated PBL’s effectiveness in a wide range of fields such as medical and health [14], chemistry [15], mathematics [16], and different engineering disciplines, namely electrical [17], mechanical [18], civil [19], and software [20] engineering. In particular, PBL is shown to be an effective active-learning approach in teaching and learning database design compared to traditional methods [4].

In this paper, we propose and assess a novel method called immersive simulation-based learning (I-SBL) for teaching and learning database design. We start by a formal definition of I-SBL and its components, and summarize the supporting pedagogical and psychological theories. We then describe a sample I-SBL module specifically designed for teaching and learning fundamental concepts related to database design. The experimental design and assessment instruments are then discussed. We then compare the effectiveness of I-SBL with PBL in terms of knowledge gain and motivation. Finally, we conclude the paper by providing a discussion on the main findings, lessons learned, potential improvement opportunities, and future research extensions.

The Proposed Immersive Simulation-Based Learning (I-SBL)

I-SBL involves PBL in an immersive simulation environment. An I-SBL module is a learning environment specified by:

a. A virtual setting (simulation model) that resembles a real system/environment and enables contextually enriched, technology-enhanced active-learning. The simulation models in our proposed I-SBL modules can be explored on a 2D display or via a VR headset (if available);

b. Processes in the virtual (simulation) environment that include multiple stations, and comprise technical as well as organizational aspects;

c. A set of products or entities that flow throughout the virtual environment and are processed (e.g., manufactured, assembled, stored, transported);

d. A didactical concept that comprises formal and informal learning, enabled by own actions of the learners during and after virtual site visits/field trips based on remote active-learning instead of on-site learning. These represent problems/projects inspired by real-world situations that the learner may face at future workplace.

Since I-SBL essentially involves PBL enhanced by an immersive simulated environment, the ped-
agogical and psychological theories supporting PBL also apply to I-SBL. Here, we summarize a sample of the supporting theories for PBL that apply to and/or are enhanced by I-SBL. The interested reader is referred to [7, 8, 9] for more details about these theories.

- I-SBL drives long-lasting development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills by: (a) activating relevant prior knowledge; (b) providing a context, via immersive simulations, that resembles future professional context; and, (c) stimulating learners to elaborate on their knowledge. These are the three principles of Information Processing Approach to Learning theory [21].

- The Constructivism Theory [22] suggests learners construct their own interpretations of the physical world through cognitive, interpretive activities that construct mental models by accommodating new ideas and phenomena with prior beliefs and knowledge. Knowledge is constructed via interactions with the environment and indexed by relevant contexts. The immersive simulations in I-SBL provide both the context and an environment to interact with, which are often missing in STEM education.

- Based on the Self-determination Theory [23], the proposed I-SBL method supports improved student motivation. I-SBL promotes autonomous motivators by taking into account students’ views, giving opportunities for student choice, and supporting students in taking greater responsibility for their own learning. This is in contrast to traditional methods that promote controlled motivators represented by rewards/punishments (such as passing a test), which can cause a sense of pressure and anxiety, and lead to superficial learning.

- Learning via I-SBL is self-directed and problem-centered, draws on previous experiences, and integrates into professional learners’ everyday life as the problems/projects used are inspired by and resemble real-world situations. These are the pillars of the Adult Learning Theory and shown to enhance professional and continuing education [24].

Learning outcomes in I-SBL include competency development in cognitive (recognition of knowledge) and affective (interest or attitudes of the learner) performance areas – two of the domains in fundamental taxonomies of learning goals [25, 26, 27]. This includes development in all competency classes, namely professional and methodological competencies, socio-communicative competencies, personal competencies, and activity and action competencies [28]. The experiments discussed in the following section are designed to support some of these expected outcomes and represent a first step to collect evidence on the effectiveness of the proposed I-SBL method.

In this work, the Simio® simulation package [29] is used to develop the immersive simulations. The advantages of the technology used in these I-SBL modules can be summarized as follows:

- Learners can navigate through the simulation model in 3D using a typical personal computer or laptop (low-immersion mode) but also via a virtual reality (VR) headset (if available) for enhanced immersive experience (high-immersion mode). Therefore, access to special equipment (e.g., VR headsets and powerful graphic cards) or special learning spaces and laboratories for immersive and active-learning experiences is not a requirement.

- Simio LLC provides free licenses for installing the software on college/university computers. The company also offers a free evaluation license for installation on students’ personal
The technology used here also has a key advantage over 360° videos that are becoming popular in higher education and are widely available via public sites such as YouTube. While 360° videos allow users to look in different directions as the video is playing, users are unable to move in other directions. In the proposed immersive simulations, the learner has total control over how they explore the virtual environment, where they spend more time, and the perspective from which they make observations.

Other I-SBL modules developed as part of this ongoing project are publicly available through the project’s website at [https://sites.psu.edu/immersivesimulationpbl](https://sites.psu.edu/immersivesimulationpbl).

**A Sample I-SBL Module for Database Design**

The I-SBL module presented here strives to mimic a situation where the student is hired as an intern to help design a database for a hypothetical company that owns food stands across a city. The student is tasked to visit one of the company’s food stands located in a small city park to learn more about the system and then come up with a database design accordingly. The immersive simulation model is treated as the real-world system, mimics the operation of a food stand, and includes enhanced 3D animation features to resemble the actual scenario. Instead of physically visiting the real system, students will explore the simulation model and make observations as the simulation is running. Figure[1] provides a screenshot of the simulation model.
After exploring the simulation and becoming familiar with the system under study, the student is
asked to create an entity-relationship (ER) diagram and relational schema by identifying relevant
entity types, relationships, and attributes. As for the learning objectives, the students will be able
to do the following after successful completion of this I-SBL module:

1. Identify relevant entity types and their relationships (including cardinality ratios).
2. Identify and select relevant attributes for each entity type and their primary key.
3. Develop an appropriate ER diagram based on the identified entity types and attributes by
   following the normalization rules (no multi-valued attribute, no composite attribute).
4. Convert many-to-many relationships to composite entity types.
5. Develop relational schema for an ER diagram using Stable and Mapped translation methods.

The general logic of the simulation is shown in Figure 2 and can be summarized as follows. The
park has three entrances and the food stand is located in the center of the park. Some of the people
entering the park stop by the food stand to purchase snacks while others will walk by the food
stand and exit from a different entrance. The inter-arrival times and order processing times are
modeled using random variables. After receiving their food, customers either continue walking
toward an exit or sit down at the tables in front of the food stand. Customers that decide to sit
at a table, will choose the table with the minimum number of people already sitting on it (ties are
broken arbitrarily). After finishing their food, customers walk toward the trash cans to dispose of
any trash item and then exit the park or go back in the line to order more food.

Research and Experiment Design

The study compared two educational settings using a control and a test group. The two groups
were assigned an identical assignment with the same learning objectives and material. The only
difference between the groups was the use of the simulation model along with the assignment for
the test group. In this experiment, all students in the test group opted to use the simulation in low-
immersion mode (by watching the simulation run on a typical display). Both groups were taught
by the same instructor in the same section and students were randomly assigned to each group. It
is hypothesized that any difference between the groups will be attributed to the use of simulation
in the I-SBL module. Figure 3 shows the experiment procedure that was carried out. IRB approval
was obtained prior to the experiment and data collection.

We used the following instruments to measure the effect of the simulation:

1. Demographics survey: The survey collects data about the subject’s age, gender, race, grade
point average (GPA), grade in a prerequisite course, major, semester standing, work experi-
ence, and experience with computer simulation and video games in general. The survey was
collected before the assignment at the start of the semester.

2. Reduced Instructional Materials Motivation Scale (RIMMS): This instrument is a 12-item
questionnaire to assess the level of student motivation. Motivation is measured by four
factors: attention (A), relevance (R), confidence (C), and satisfaction (S). Each factor has 3
items in the questionnaire [30]. This questionnaire was collected immediately after finishing
the assignment. The assignment was completed in eleven days. Both groups complete the RIMMS questionnaire.

3. Knowledge test (quiz): This test was created by the instructor to assess students’ understanding of the following concepts: databases (definition and usage), entities, relationships, relational schemas, and stable and mapped translation methods (ER diagram to relational schema conversion). The tests were given twice; pre- and post- the assignment. The pre-quiz was conducted while the students were working on the assignment (day 9). The post-quiz was conducted four days after completing the assignment. Both groups took the tests.

4. Open-ended questions: Three questions were asked: (1) “What changes would you recommend in this assignment to enhance/improve your learning experience?” (2) “Do you think you can use or apply what you have learned in this assignment in your professional life? Please explain how.” and (3) “What helped you learn the concepts in this assignment? Please explain. (Examples: Instructor, textbook, lectures, simulation model, Google, etc.)” The goal was to capture additional insights not captured by the other instruments. This questionnaire was conducted for both groups immediately after completing the assignment.

We implemented the sample I-SBL module in an introductory 200-level undergraduate database course entitled Organization of Data, offered as part an Information Sciences and Technology
(IST) program at The Pennsylvania State University. This is a 3-credit hour course with two 75-minute lectures per week, where one-third of the lectures are devoted to computer lab exercises. By the end of the course, students are expected to be able to develop an effective database satisfying a given set of data requirements. This course encompasses requirements gathering and specification, conceptual database design using ER diagrams, logical database design using relational schema, normalization, and physical database design. In addition, the course discusses the use of Oracle Application Express for database oriented web application development.
Table 1: Gender composition per group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>86.67%</td>
<td>13.33%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
<td>84.62%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the gender composition of the student participants. As shown in Figure 4, the majority of the students in both groups are in IST with a few students being from other majors. The majority of the students in both groups have no previous work experience (Table 2). As shown in Figure 5 most of the students are sophomores. We also asked about the level of experience with computer simulation (Table 3) and computer games (Table 4). Figure 6 compares the GPA of students in the two groups. There was no significant statistical difference between two group in terms their GPA ($H_0: \mu_{GPA}^{test} - \mu_{GPA}^{control} = 0$, the p-value is 0.249 using a Mann-Whitney test).

Student Population

We use our experiments to investigate the following hypotheses:

1. I-SBL is at least as effective as PBL with respect to student motivation as measured by the RIMMS instrument.

2. The students in the test group would perform at least as well as the control group in terms of understanding the concepts related to entities & relationships, and definition & usage of databases.

3. The students in the test group would perform at least as well as the control group in developing ER diagrams and relational schema for the assignment.
We also investigated the usability of the immersive simulation model. The scores from the usability survey and the feedback from the open-ended questions will be used to improve the design of future I-SBL modules. For the sake of conciseness, we do not provide the usability survey results in this paper and focus on motivation and knowledge gain.

**Statistical Comparisons and Results**

Table 5 shows the mean, median, and standard deviation of the four dimensions related to motivation measured by the RIMMS instrument for the control and test groups. Statistical tests were performed for every dimension to test our null hypothesis ($H_0 : \mu_{test}^{RIMMS} - \mu_{control}^{RIMMS} = 0$). No significant statistical difference was detected between the control and test group overall. Therefore, based on our sample data, I-SBL is statistically as effective as PBL in terms of motivation, answering the first research hypothesis posed in the previous section.

Table 6 shows the mean and standard deviation of score for each pre-/post-quiz question. Each quiz question is worth 20 points. Each question was graded based on the following rubric: 0 points for inaccurate description (none of the important keywords found in student’s answer), 10 points for partially correct answer (some of the important keywords found in student’s answer), 20 points for a complete description (almost all of the important keywords found in student’s answer). The test group performed significantly better than control group in their pre-quiz performance (p-value is 0.2364 using a two-sample t-test). There was no statistically significant difference between the control group and the test group in their post-quiz performance (p-value is 0.6838 using a Mann-Whitney U test).
Table 3: Percentage of students broken down by experience with computer simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No Experience</th>
<th>Some Experience</th>
<th>Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
<td>38.46%</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Percentage of students broken down by experience with computer games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No Experience</th>
<th>Some Experience</th>
<th>Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>13.33%</td>
<td>46.67%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
<td>30.77%</td>
<td>61.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 shows the knowledge gain (i.e., post-quiz score - pre-quiz score) within control and test groups. Observations for individual questions and overall score do not follow a Normal distribution, hence we performed a Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test to investigate our null hypothesis ($H_0: \mu_{\text{post quiz}} - \mu_{\text{pre quiz}} = 0$) for each group. The overall knowledge gain (total score) was statistically significant in both control and test groups.

We performed a Mann-Whitney test to test the null hypothesis ($H_0: \mu_{\text{test knowledgeGain}} - \mu_{\text{control knowledgeGain}} = 0$). There was no statistically significant difference between the control and test groups in their knowledge gain (the difference between post-quiz and pre-quiz performance). This confirms the second research hypothesis posed in the previous section.

Figure 7 compares the control and test groups’ scores on their PBL and I-SBL assignment, respectively. We test the data for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Both samples passed the normality test, hence we performed a two-sample t-test and found no statistical significant difference between the control and test groups in terms of their assignment scores (the p-value is 0.69). Therefore, based on our sample data, I-SBL is statistically as effective as PBL in terms of assignment score. This confirms the third research hypothesis posed in the previous section.

Qualitative Assessment of Open-Ended Questions

Student responses to the open-ended questions helped us derive additional insights, especially in understanding why the test group did not perform significantly better than the control group. Here are some of the responses from control (C) and test (T) groups for the following question: “What changes would you recommend in this assignment to enhance/improve your learning experience?”

C: “To improve my learning experience with this assignment, I would remove any unnecessary information that may lead students to be confused.”

C: “I felt that the directions and the way the assignment was conveyed was confusing. I was unsure what parts of the assignment were supposed to be used and what weren’t.”

T: “I felt like even though it was supposed to be a realistic project, there was a lot of extra information which could make it a little confusing for someone who does not understand what we’re learning fully.”
Table 5: RIMMS analysis ($H_0: \mu_{RIMMS}^{test} - \mu_{RIMMS}^{control} = 0$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIMMS</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Difference (between groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>47.13</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T: “I feel that this assignment is very well constructed and it provides the challenge of reading and comprehending what was being asked and transforming it into the diagram that was required. I feel that some information could be removed as it is information that is wasted. I feel as if the flowchart that was provided in the word document didn’t add any new information that wasn’t already obtained.”

Some of the comments above can be attributed to the fact that the problem statement in PBL involves certain planned imperfections to mimic real-world projects, which are often ill-structured and vague. Here are some of the responses from control (C) and test (T) groups for the following question: “Do you think you can use or apply what you have learned in this assignment in your professional life? Please explain how.”

C: “I believe I can use this to set up my business and help my parents to set up their restaurant structure.”

C: “I think I can use or apply what I have learned in this assignment in my professional life, because I can come across a situation where someone has a question on queries to help them set up a database, and I could help them accordingly. And if someone brings up as a topic conversation on queries, I can contribute to that topic, because I know what they are talking about, because of this class.”

T: “Yes it would allow me to see how systems work in the world and compare them to ER diagram tables or their inner workings and data.”

T: “I would find this incredibly helpful for general database management in the workplace like keeping track of equipment and recording the equipment information and the process of how
### Table 6: Pre-quiz and post-quiz results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Control Pre-Quiz</th>
<th>Test Pre-Quiz</th>
<th>Control Post-Quiz</th>
<th>Test Post-Quiz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>73.08</td>
<td>19.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1: What is an entity type?

Q2: What is a relationship?

Q3: What is a database?

Q4: Why do we need databases?

Q5: Explain one-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many relationships in your own words.

### Table 7: Knowledge gain within groups ($H_0 : \mu_{\text{post}}^{\text{post}} - \mu_{\text{pre}}^{\text{pre}} = 0$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Control Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Stat. Test</th>
<th>Test Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Stat. Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>-3.85</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, the authors observed that students find both PBL and the I-SBL assignment useful in future professional settings. Below are some of the responses from control (C) and test (T) groups for the following question: “What helped you learn the concepts in this assignment? Please explain. (Examples: Instructor, textbook, lectures, simulation model, Google, etc.)”

C: “The instructions and the explanation by the professor was outstanding.”

C: “The instructor and the Power Points that the instructor made and model diagram.”

T: “The simulation model helped a lot along with the material that the instructor taught in class about diagrams, tables, etc.”

T: “The lectures and the simulation made the assignment much more clear.”

In general, the authors observed that students in the test group found the simulation model to be helpful in visualizing and contextualizing the problem.
Table 8: Knowledge gain between groups ($H_0: \mu_{knowledgeGain}^{test} - \mu_{knowledgeGain}^{control} = 0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Stat. Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>-5.85</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>-7.28</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Box Plot for Student Assignment Scores

Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper, an immersive simulation-based learning (I-SBL) approach was proposed and assessed. The approach presents a novel method for teaching and learning fundamental concepts related to database design. The approach was implemented an I-SBL module in an undergraduate database design class, and through a set of experiments with control and test groups, it was shown that I-SBL performs (statistically) as well as PBL with respect to knowledge gain and motivation. This is an encouraging first step for I-SBL given that PBL is a well-established active-learning approach that is proven to be significantly more effective than traditional methods (e.g., lectures). The results provide a few important insights on effective design and implementation of I-SBL:

1. There has to be a clear purpose for the simulation in order for learners to truly appreciate its value. In the sample I-SBL module used in this paper, the simulation’s role was primarily to help students visualize the context, i.e., students would watch the simulation run without having to perform any extensive interaction with the virtual environment. The connection between the simulation and the assignment can be made stronger by adding a follow-up part to the I-SBL module where students implement their database and populate it with sample data collected from the simulation. The authors hypothesize that such interactions with the simulation would significantly enhance the effectiveness of I-SBL. The authors are currently developing I-SBL modules where students will need to collect data from the simulation model to parameterize the analytical models that they need to develop to answer the questions being asked. In the next phase of this research, it is planned to use these I-SBL modules to test the above hypothesis. All I-SBL modules developed as part of this ongoing project are publicly available through the project’s website at [https://sites.psu.edu/immersivesimulationpbl](https://sites.psu.edu/immersivesimulationpbl)
2. Relevance to potential future career paths is another critical consideration when designing an I-SBL module. For the food stand module, the authors realized that students whose family members are in the restaurant business were by far more excited about the assignment and found more value in it as evident in their response to the open-ended questions and based on their conversations with the instructor outside of class. The authors hypothesize that having multiple equivalent I-SBL modules that students can choose from would significantly increase the effectiveness of the activity. It is planned to test this hypothesis by developing several I-SBL modules that are similar to the food stand example in all aspects except the application area (say, an ATM or an electric vehicle charging station). All of these models represent a single-server queueing system and there is no fundamental difference between the ER diagrams and relational schema, but some students may find these applications much more attractive and relevant to their future job.

3. It is also important to note that the I-SBL module used in this study was intended for an introductory level undergraduate database course and used as an assignment after students were introduced to the relevant concepts for the first time. Therefore, it was necessary to: (a) use a familiar context such as a food stand with which most students have some experience with; and, (b) keep the complexity level of the system relatively low to focus on learning database-related concepts rather than on understanding the underlying dynamics of a complex system/simulation. For advanced database courses, it is recommended to use contexts with more complex entities and relations that may not have simple visual representations (e.g., database design for human resource management or medical records). This would further enhance how the I-SBL module reflects a future professional context.

This paper presents a first attempt to develop, implement, and assess I-SBL, and like any other first step, has several limitations. Perhaps the most important limitation of the work presented here is a relatively small sample size. This experiment will be replicated in future offerings of the course and see how/if the findings would change based on a larger sample size. Especially, the control group in this experiment had a higher average GPA than the test group that used I-SBL. A larger sample size should help eliminate such potential effects that might exist here. Moreover, the students in the same section of the class were divided into two groups. While this ensures that many other factors were common among the two groups (e.g., both groups received the exact same lectures and material), the students in the test group would clearly see that some of their classmates are able to complete the assignment without the simulation model. This might have created some negative attitude toward the I-SBL version of the assignment as these students had to do additional steps, namely installing the simulation software and observing the simulated environment.

The authors hope that this paper and its extensions would encourage the use of immersive simulations in conjunction with PBL in engineering education.
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